M1. (a) Ability/power of an atom/element/nucleus to withdraw electron density or electron cloud or a pair of electrons (towards itself);

Not withdraw an electron
If ref to ionic, metallic , imf etc then $C E=0$

From a covalent bond or from a shared pair of electrons;
Not distort
Not remove electrons
(b) Van der Waals/ vdw/London/ temporary (induced) dipole/ dispersion forces;

Hydrogen bonds/H bonds;
Not just hydrogen
(c) (Large) electronegativity difference between $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{H} /$ difference of $0.9 / \mathrm{N}$ very electronegative;

Insufficient to say $N=3.1$ and $H=2.1$

Forms $\mathrm{N} \delta-$ / $\mathrm{H} \delta+$ or dipole explained in words;
Not $N$ becomes (fully) negative or vice versa

Lone pair on N attracts/forms weak bonds with $\mathrm{H}(\delta+)$;
QWC
Can score M2 and 3 from a diagram
(d) Co-ordinate/dative;

If not correct then $C E=0$. If covalent/blank mark on.

Both electrons/ lone pair (on $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{PH}_{3}$ )
Not lone pair on hydrogen

Shares/donated from $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) /$ to $\mathrm{H}(\delta+)$;
(e) 3 bonds and 1 lp attached to As;

Must label H and As atoms
Accept distorted tetrahedral not bent tetrahedral

Pyramidal/tetrahedral/ trigonal pyramidal;
Not bipyramidal/triangular
(f) (Only) weak Van der Waals forces between molecules $/ \mathrm{AsH}_{3}$ has weaker IMF /ammonia has hydrogen bonding/ more energy needed to break IMF's in ammonia/ Van der Waals weaker than H bonds;

Accept has no $H$ bonds.
Ignore dp-dp in $\mathrm{AsH}_{3}$ provided ammonia has stronger IMF. If between atoms mentioned $C E=0$
Break bonds CE $=0$

M2. (a) tendency / strength / ability / power of an atom / element / nucleus to attract / pull / withdraw electrons / e - density / bonding pair / shared pair
in a covalent bond
(b) (i) $\mathrm{F}_{2}=$ van der Waals' / induced/temporary dipole-dipole / dispersion / London forces
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ dipole-dipole
(not just 'dipole')
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{HF} & =\quad \text { hydrogen bonding } \\
& \text { (not just 'H' / 'hydrogen') }
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) large difference in electronegativity between H and $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{F}$ most/very/much more electronegative / values '4' \& '2.1' quoted (not just 'higher')
${ }^{\delta}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}^{\delta-}$ dipole created or dipole clearly implied (accept arguments such as 'uneven charge in bond'/ 'polar bond' $\therefore$ F slightly negative / H slightly positive)
attraction/bond formed between $\delta+\mathrm{H}$ and lone pair on F (M2 / M3 may be scored from a diagram) (CE if full charges shown - lose M2 and M3)
(c) (i) van der Waals' / induced/temporary dipole-dipole / dispersion / London forces / attractions
(ignore references to dipole-dipole)
increase with the increasing $M_{r} /$ size / mass / $\mathrm{N}^{0}$ of $\mathrm{e}^{-} /$size of $e^{-}$cloud (in the hydrogen halides)
(if ionic, or if 'covalent bonds broken' $=C E=0$ )
(mark M1 and M2 separately)
(ii) hydrogen bonding stronger than van der Waals' attraction/forces (accept hydrogen bonding is very strong / strongest) (accept arguments such as 'HF has H-bonds, others only have van der Waals')
(not just 'HF has H-bonding')

M3.A

M4. (a) (i) Covalent (1)
(ii) Co-ordinate (1) (or dative)
(iii) Both / two / pair electrons come from nitrogen (1)
(iv) 4 bonding / electron pairs (1)
repel equally (1)
OR are identical
as far apart as possible (1)
OR to position of minimum repulsion
tetrahedron (1)
(b) Power (or ability) of an element / atom to attract electron pair/electrons/ an electron/electron density (1)
in a covalent bond (1)
Allow attract from, withdraw in, do not allow remove from, withdraw from.
(c) (i) Electron deficient (1)

Or small, slight, partial positive charge
(ii) $\mathrm{H}<\mathrm{N}(1)$

M5. (a) Oxygen more/very/highly electronegative (than hydrogen) OR oxygen has stronger attraction for bonding electrons / bonding electrons drawn towards oxygen;
causes higher $\mathrm{e}^{-}$density round oxygen atom / causes $\mathrm{H}^{++}$ $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{s}}$;
(b) van der Waals' forces between oxygen molecules;

Hydrogen bonding between methanol molecules;

H-B stronger than van der Waals' OR stronger IMF in methanol;
(if dipole-dipole forces in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ or methanol, allow comparison, hence max 2)
(if ionic/covalent etc. max 1)
(mention of bond break $=C E=0$ )

M6. (a) (i) Electronegativity (difference) or suitable description (1)
Accept F and Cl are highly electronegative
Not both atoms are highly electronegative
(ii) $\mathrm{HF}=$ hydrogen bonding (1)
$\mathrm{HCl}=$ (permanent) dipole-dipole bonding or even van de Waals' (1) Hydrogen bonding stronger / is the strongest IMF (1)

Accept a statement that HF must have the stronger IMF, even if no IMFs identified
The explanation must be based on intermolecular forces/attractions
Note: if the explanation is clearly intramolecular $=C E$
(b) Electron pair or lone pair donated (1)

Do not accept 'donation of electrons'
From chloride ion to Al or $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ (1)

M1 can be earned by a general explanation of coordinate bonding, even if the electron pair is said to come from Al. The second mark, M2, is for this specific bond Ignore missing charge
(c)

(1)
$\mathrm{PCl}_{4}^{+}$

(1)
$\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ shown as trigonal bipyramid [Look for: ONE solid linear CI-P-Cl bond]

Bond Angle(s) $90^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$ (1)
$\mathrm{PCl}_{4}{ }^{+}$shown as tetrahedral NO solid linear CI-P-Cl bonds]

